Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 735 Case file # 95-286722.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

	Steve Roberts	оп			
Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and F	iber			-	
Review commenced at: 11:00 A	M (Time),	11/05/01	(Date	e)	
File #: 95-286722					
Laboratory #(s): 90206045		_			
		•			
	Eveminer	s) & Symbol			
		S) & Symbol	.5	Daniannad	Not Davious
Reviewed RQ X	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u> </u>	.			0	_
				0	G
	Materia	ls Reviewed			
Trial testimony transcript(s) of:	Not available				
Testimony Date(s):		Pages:			
aboratory Report(s):					
	0206045		Date:	Mar 15, 1989)
Laboratory Number: 90			_		
Laboratory Number: 90 Laboratory Number:			– Date: –		
			Date: Date:		
Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number:	Q and unknown tec	chnician	_		
Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number:	•	chnician	_		
Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of: R	•	chnician	_		
Laboratory Number: Laboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of: R	•	chnician of 3	_		

Result	s of Review		
File #: 95-286722 Item or Spe	ecimen # Reviewed:	Q1-Q3	
Review of Laboratory	Report(s) and Ber	nch Notes:	
Note: Numbered commer additional pages for any "No" of	-		nses
1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate test methods, protocols, and analytic techniques as	–	f the origin:	al examination(s)?
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques at the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes?	vailable at the time of Yes I No Xu	of the original original or the land and a ported and a	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques at (2) Are the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes?	vailable at the time of Yes	of the original Unable to 1 Dorted and a Unable to 1 Ow or on	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in Determine
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques as 2) Are the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes? Review Note: Numbered comments	vailable at the time of Yes	of the original Unable to 1 Dorted and a Unable to 1 Ow or on	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in Determine
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques averaged. 2) Are the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes? Review - Note: Numbered commentation results set forth in the lab the bench notes?	vailable at the time of Yes □ No X□ of Testimony: of Testimony: of Williable to Determine the property of t	ow or on nine" Respon	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in Determine
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques as 2) Are the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes? Review Note: Numbered commet additional pages for any "No" of XXII Transcript not available. 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?	vailable at the time of Yes	ow or on nine" Responses	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in Determine unable to Determin unable to Determin
methods, protocols, and analytic techniques as 2) Are the examination results set forth in the lab the bench notes? Review Note: Numbered commendational pages for any "No" of XXII Transcript not available. 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of Yes □ No Xto Xto Xto Xto Xto Xto Xto Xto Xto Xt	ow or on nine" Responses	al examination(s)? Determine dequately documented in Determine unable to Determin unable to Determin

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

	<u>-</u>			
1: It appears that the unidentifi	ed technician perform	ed much of the examin	ation, such as pl	nysical description
nicroscopic examination and so	lubility testing of the	fibers, instead of RQ.		
2: Documentation is poor. The	notes are not dated ar	nd are in pencil and not	ink. The spectra	are not properly
labeled. Sufficient testing was p	erformed to support th	ne reported conclusion.		
Review completed at: 11	:30 AM (Time),	11/05/01	(Date)	
Total time spent conducting revi	iew (to πearest 1/4 hor	ur): 0.50 hr.		
sereby certify that I conducted t	his review in an indep	endent, unbiased mann	er and that the re	esults of my revie
e fully documented on this repo	rt consisting of a total	page	s.	
	4	Z- //,	•	
	24	ue Blut	<u></u>	11/05/2001
		(Signature)		(Date)

Page 3 of 3
Initials: